## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

**Roberto Escobedo-Cervantes** 

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:11CR01956-001JB USM Number: 62807-051

Defense Attorney: Leon Encinias, Appointed

THE DEFENI	DANT:		
☐ pleaded n	uilty to count(s) <b>Information</b> olo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by a of not guilty was found guilty on count(s)	y the court.	
The defendant	is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:		
Title and Secti	ion Nature of Offense	Offense Ended	Count
8 U.S.C. Sec 1326(a)/(b)	Re-entry of a Removed Alien	06/21/2011	Number(s)
The defendant Reform Act of	is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through <b>4</b> of t 1984.	his judgment. The sentence is imposed pur	rsuant to the Sentencing
	adant has been found not guilty on count . ismissed on the motion of the United States.		
name, residenc	ER ORDERED that the defendant must notify the ce, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, co restitution, the defendant must notify the court and	ests, and special assessments imposed by the	nis judgment are fully paid. If
		August 25, 2011	
		Date of Imposition of Judgment	
		/s/ James O. Browning	
		Signature of Judge	
		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
		Name and Title of Judge	
		<b>September 15, 2011</b>	
		Date Signed	

Defendant: Roberto Escobedo-Cervantes Case Number: 1:11CR01956-001JB

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **66 days or time served, whichever is less**.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin immediate removal proceedings.

Pursuant to section 5D1.1(a), the Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 66 days reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  at on as notified by the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.  RETURN  I have executed this judgment as follows:  Defendant delivered on		The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
Defendant delivered on		The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  at on as notified by the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal
Defendant delivered on		RETURN
at with a Certified copy of this judgment.  UNITED STATES MARSHAL  By	I hav	e executed this judgment as follows:
By	Defe:	ndant delivered onto to with a Certified copy of this judgment.
<u> </u>		UNITED STATES MARSHAL
		By  DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Defendant: Roberto Escobedo-Cervantes Case Number: 1:11CR01956-001JB

## CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defen	dant must pay the following total criminal monetary p	enalties in accordance with the sched	lule of payments.
$\times$	The Court hereby remits the defendant's Special Penal	ty Assessment; the fee is waived and	no payment is required.
Totals:	Assessment	Fine	Restitution
	\$waived	\$0.00	\$0.00
	SCHEDULI	E OF PAYMENTS	
Payments	shall be applied in the following order (1) assessment:	(2) restitution; (3) fine principal; (4)	cost of prosecution; (5) interest;
(6) penalti	ies.		-
Payment of	of the total fine and other criminal monetary penalties	shall be due as follows:	
The defen	dant will receive credit for all payments previously ma	nde toward any criminal monetary per	nalties imposed.
Α 🗆	In full immediately; or		
В	\$\\$\ immediately, balance due (see special instruction	s regarding payment of criminal mon	etary penalties).

Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties: Criminal monetary penalties are to be made payable by cashier's check, bank or postal money order to the U.S. District Court Clerk, 333 Lomas Blvd. NW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 unless otherwise noted by the court. Payments must include defendant's name, current address, case number and type of payment.

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.